



# UNITED STEED ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradenark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ALIO	RNEY DOCKET NO.
09/696,238	10/26/00	Klizner		
· ·			EX	AMINER
			J. (	Chiang
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	/2
			DATE MAILED:	13
	INTER	IEW SUMMARY		
All participants (applicant, applicant)	s representative, PTO personno	el):		
11) Jeck Ch	iony	(3) Orring K	litarer	
(2) Ahmad M	AVAR	(4) Arnold L	it Ho	woul Flaxma
Date of Interview	30/02	<del></del>	(	
Type: Telephonic Personal	(copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative	).	how
Exhibit shown or demonstration con-	ducted: Yes 🗆 No If yes,	brief description: Applic	ant Seine	nstrated githe
use of the rear o	nd front Cha	mbers improve	s the 1	evel of sample
Agreement Xwas reached.  was		,		
Claim(s) discussed:	•			
Identification of prior art discussed:	Gore (us	Patent 4,62	0,605)	
identification of prior art discussed			,,,,,,	···
			. n.	dimet and day
Description of the general nature of  that the propes	// · //		Fer Fran	~ /
CIRC THE proper	•	•		
Specifically, the	language etron	t Chamber an	d rear C	hamber seperat
improving	the sound rec	eived by a		The proposed
amendment overce	mes the 163	rejects on (Lark	in & Gore	) Applicant wil
submit on ome	ndment.	V	•	//
(A fuller description, if necessary, armust be attached. Also, where no c	nd a copy of the amendments, it is copy of the amendments which we consider the copy of th	f available, which the examiner vould render the claims allowal	agreed would rende ble is available, a sur	r the claims allowable nmary thereof must be
attached.)				
1. At is not necessary for applican	nt to provide a separate record	of the substance of the intervie	w.	
Unless the paragraph above has be IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLU	en checked to indicate to the co IDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THI	ontrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN E INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Se	RESPONSE TO THection 713.04). If a re	E LAST OFFICE ACTION sponse to the last Office
action has are ready been filed, APF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT	TH FROM THIS INTERVIEW D	ATE TO FILE A STA	TEMENT OF THE
2. Since the Examiner's interview	v summary above (including an	y attachments) reflects a comp	lete response to ea	ch of the objections,
	nat may be present in the last C onse requirements of the last C ove is also checked.			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form. FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

Make Mrty





#### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
  of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
  contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary
  Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

#### **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

## PROPOSED AMENDMENTS 09/696,238

## IN THE CLAIMS:

Amend claim 1 as follows:

1. (Thrice Amended) A cellular telephone including a user communication component linking the user to the cellular telephone without the transmitting radiation leakage associated with the use of cellular telephones, comprising:

a plurality of operating components transmitting outgoing signals and receiving incoming signals, the operating components including an incoming signal output which processes incoming signals and outputs the incoming signals for the user;

an interface linking the operating components to a user, the interface including:

a speaker coupled to the incoming signal output, the speaker amplifying and converting incoming signals from the incoming signal output to audible signals, wherein the speaker includes a driver which is sealed within an integral enclosure having a single opening shaped and dimensioned for receiving a speaker sound tube, the speaker further including a cover secured over the driver, and the driver and cover are sealed within the enclosure in a manner defining [a plurality of chambers about the driver] front chamber and rear chamber separated by the driver so as to create a high sound pressure level improving the sound received by a user, wherein the speaker sound tube passes through the cover for access to vibrations generated by the driver;

the speaker sound tube linking a user to the speaker, wherein the speaker sound tube includes a first end and a second end, the first end of the speaker sound

tube being coupled to the speaker for receiving audible signals generated thereby and the second end including a user communication component through which the user may listen to the audible signals generated by the speaker.

# PROPOSED AMENDMENTS 09/696,238

## IN THE CLAIMS:

Amend claim 1 as follows:

1. (Thrice Amended) A cellular telephone including a user communication component linking the user to the cellular telephone without the transmitting radiation leakage associated with the use of cellular telephones, comprising:

a plurality of operating components transmitting outgoing signals and receiving incoming signals, the operating components including an incoming signal output which processes incoming signals and outputs the incoming signals for the user;

an interface linking the operating components to a user, the interface including:

a speaker coupled to the incoming signal output, the speaker amplifying and converting incoming signals from the incoming signal output to audible signals, wherein the speaker includes a driver which is sealed within an integral enclosure having a single opening shaped and dimensioned for receiving a speaker sound tube, the speaker further including a cover secured over the driver, and the driver and cover are sealed within the enclosure in a manner defining [a plurality of chambers about the driver] front chamber and rear chamber separated by the driver so as to create a high sound pressure level improving the sound received by a user, wherein the speaker sound tube passes through the cover for access to vibrations generated by the driver;

the speaker sound tube linking a user to the speaker, wherein the speaker sound tube includes a first end and a second end, the first end of the speaker sound

tube being coupled to the speaker for receiving audible signals generated thereby and the second end including a user communication component through which the user may listen to the audible signals generated by the speaker.